

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

10 IPFS CORPORATION,) Case No. 2:14-cv-00509-GMN-NJK
11 Plaintiff(s),)
12 vs.) ORDER
13 LORRAINE CARRILLO,) (Docket No. 83)
14 Defendant(s).)
15 _____)

16 Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's renewed motion to reopen discovery. Docket No. 76.
17 Defendant filed a response, along with a counter-motion to exclude. Docket Nos. 81, 82. Plaintiff filed
18 a reply. Docket No. 83. Plaintiff's reply asserts that the time-frame for filing the reply did not allow
19 it sufficient time to address all issues raised in Defendant's response and counter-motion. *See id.* at 3.¹
20 The reply brief purports to incorporate by reference the arguments raised in Plaintiff's forthcoming
21 response to the counter-motion. *See id.*

22 The Court hereby **STRIKES** the reply brief (Docket No. 83). No later than July 10, 2015,
23 Plaintiff shall file a reply to the motion to reopen discovery and a response to the counter-motion.

24
25
26
27 _____
28 ¹ The reply brief was due on July 6, 2015 pursuant to the parties' stipulation. *See* Docket No. 80.

1 Plaintiff is not permitted to incorporate by reference arguments made elsewhere. Defendant's reply to
2 her counter-motion shall be filed no later than July 15, 2015.²

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 DATED: July 7, 2015

5 
6 NANCY J. KOPPE
United States Magistrate Judge

27 _____
28 ² If either or both parties believe a briefing schedule set by the Court does not provide sufficient time
to prepare their briefs, the proper means for seeking relief is to file a request with the Court for an extension.